

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,647	01/31/2001	Thomas Cremer	GK-GEY-1083C / 500530.200	7350
7	590 12/05/2001			
Jules E. Goldberg Reed Smith, LLP 17th Floor			EXAMINER	
			FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN	
375 Park Avenue New York, NY 10152			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1655	~
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2001	+

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/773,647

Applicant(s)

Cremer et al

Examiner

Jeffrey Fredman

Art Unit 1655



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _____one MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

afi - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any r	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communice period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day a considered timely. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory emmunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ication. /s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will / period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status					
1) 🗔	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This action				
3) 🗔	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
	tion of Claims				
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
		is/are rejected.			
7) 🔲 ́	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-20</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
9) 10) 11)	tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/ard The proposed drawing correction filed on The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	is: a) approved b) disapproved.			
13) 🗆	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
	B. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure ethe attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachme	ent(s)				
,— <u>,</u>	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
16) Not	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Uther:					

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-14, drawn to analytical elements comprising nucleic acids, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - II. Claims 15-18, drawn to a method of making analytical element with nucleic acids, classified in class 435, subclass 287.2.
 - III. Claims 19 and 20, drawn to a method for comparative hybridization, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions in Group II and in Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product can be made by the method of Group II or by simply randomly attaching nucleic acids, which will necessarily result in some geometric arrangement, rather than the required method steps of selecting and arranging.
- 3. Inventions in Group I and in Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different

Art Unit: 1655

product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product can be used for the comparative genomic hybridization assay of Group III, for expression analysis of genes, for nucleic acid purification or for PCR detection assays.

- 4. Inventions in Group II and in Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the Group II method steps of making the array function to create an array while the Group III method steps involve hybridization detection steps which function to determine the presence or absence of a nucleic acid.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Jules Goldberg on November 21, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

Application/Control Number: 09/773,647 Page 4

Art Unit: 1655

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-6568.

The examiner is normally in the office between the hours of 6:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., and

telephone calls either in the early morning or the afternoon are most likely to find the examiner in

the office.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by

facsimile transmission via the P.T.O. Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The CM1 Fax Center

numbers for Technology Center 1600 are either (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242. Please note

that the faxing of such papers must conform with the Notice to Comply published in the Official

Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Jeffrey Fredman
Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1655

December 4, 2001